

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,416	01/30/2004	Emanuel Shenkar	KRB-0136	6944
7590 03/14/2006			EXAMINER	
KNOBLE YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY, LLC			FIDEI, DAVID	
Eight Penn Cent	ter			
Suite 1350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1628 John F. Ke	ennedy Blvd.	3728		
Philadelphia, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathbf{e}	^
Y	$\gamma\!\!\!/$
0	0

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/768,416	SHENKAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	David T. Fidei	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 De	ecember 2005.				
·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		esecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.03(a).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pages No (s) Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/30/04, 5/10/04</u> .	6) Other:	aum phouse (1 10 10a)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 21 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 2/27/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itzel (Patent no. 4,793,475). Itzel discloses a for dispensing a substance into a container, comprising: a closure main body having a top portion and a downwardly depending sidewall portion that is adapted to be secured to a container; vial securing means (3, 4) for securing to said closure main body a modular sealed vial that contains a substance; and unsealing means for unsealing said sealed vial when said closure is secured on to the container (6, 6', 8), see figures 1-7.

As to claims 2 –7, 9-13, 16 and 17 the sidewall portion is threaded for engagement with mating threading on a container, and wherein the unsealing means is constructed and arranged to unseal said sealed vial when said closure is being screwed onto the container, col. 7, lines 53-59.

As to claim 8, edge 7 is considered at least one projection for tearing a breached portion of the vial.

4. Claims 12, 14, 15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Affaitati et al (Patent no. 4,962,852). A dispensing container assembly is disclosed comprising; a container 1 having a threaded finish portion 3, at least one modular sealed vial 4, a closure cap

Application/Control Number: 10/768,416

Art Unit: 3728

13 comprising a closure main body having a top portion and a downwardly depending sidewall portion that is adapted to be secured to a container, a vial securing means 8 for securing said modular sealed vial; and an insert 11.

As to claims 14, 15 and 18, is insert is manifestly constructed to beach the sealed via by penetrating a frangible member 5 at the bottom of the vial.

As to claim 19, the tubular bottom of the insert defines a passageway in as much as is claimed.

As to claim 20, the lower sharp end 12 is considered the means for breaching.

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

owner must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in this Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The applicant 's or patent owner 's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

The reply must be reduced to writing (emphasis added)", see 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

Pointing out specific distinctions means clearly indicating in the written response what features/elements or distinctions have been added to the claim/claims, where support is found in the specification for such recitations and how these features are not shown, taught, obvious or inherent in the prior art.

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and

Application/Control Number: 10/768,416

Art Unit: 3728

rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/768,416

Art Unit: 3728

David T. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf March 5, 2006